

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/808,666	03/24/2004	Josef Balcer	0018138.00030	6219
21878 7	590 09/16/2005		EXAM	INER
KENNEDY COVINGTON LOBDELL & HICKMAN, LLP			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
214 N. TRYON HEARST TOV	N STREET VER, 47TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28202			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		()			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assis - O	10/808,666	BALCER, JOSEF			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen L. Blau	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONON, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/29/	<u>′05</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,11 and 12 is/are wi</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-10 and 13-17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	thdrawn from consideratio	n.			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	_	• • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		)/Mail Date  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jenkins.

Jenkins discloses a first (68), second (62), third (64), and fourth (66) impact face (Fig. 6), a first impact face (68) extending substantially parallel to an axis of a shaft in the form of the loft being zero (Col. 4, Lns. 50-51) and a zero lie/offset for the shaft (Col. 4, Lns. 52-55), a second, third, and fourth impact faces extending at an incline relative to the axis of the shaft in the form of a loft of 23-65 degrees (Col. 4, Lns. 45-49) and an lie/offset of a shaft being 0-12 degrees (Col. 4, Lns. 51-55), a shaft substantially perpendicular to a sole in the form of a flat sole and an shaft having an lie/offset 0-12 degrees (Col. 4, Lns. 46-57, Figs. 4, 6, Ref. Nos. 46, 50), a shaft having a length such that the upper end is able to be pivoted at a level of a golfer's chest in the form of a shaft being 40-60 inches in length (Col. 2, Lns. 34-43), a shaft being oriented at an angle of at least 10 degrees from a perpendicular relative to a sole in the form of the shaft lie/offset being 0-12 degrees (Col. 4, Lns. 51-55), and a shaft attached to a head

Art Unit: 3711

at least approximately centrally (Ref. No. 78, Fig. 6) between the ends of the impact face (68) that is essentially parallel to the axis of the shaft (Col. 4, Lns. 46-57).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins in view of Murray.

Jenkins lacks a variable length putter, a shaft having two adjustable parts relative to one another in the direction of the axis of the shaft and fixable to one another in a set position. Murray discloses a variable length putter, a shaft having two adjustable parts relative to one another in the direction of the axis of the shaft and fixable to one another in a set position (Fig. 4) in order to shorten a shaft prior to transportation and in order to match an individual's physiology (Col. 1, Lns. 50-57). In view of the patent of Murray it would have been obvious to modify the putter of Jenkins to have a variable length putter, a shaft having two adjustable parts relative to one another in the direction of the axis of the shaft and fixable to one another in a set position in order to shorten a shaft prior to transportation and in order to match an individual's physiology.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,666

Art Unit: 3711

5. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins in view of Florian.

Page 4

Jenkins discloses a conventional long shafted putter being 40-60 inches in length (Col. 2, Lns. 34-43) and a two piece grip (Ref. No. 16 and 18, Col. 3, Lns. 30-48).

Jenkins lacks a grip on a shaft extending from the upper end of the shaft a distance of approximately 60-70 cm from a head. Florian discloses a side putter with a shaft end pivoted near the chest of a player with a shaft being 40-50 inches in length and a grip extending to approximately the midpoint of a club (Fig. 1). In view of the patent of Florian it would have been obvious to modify the club of Jenkins to have a grip on a shaft extending from the upper end of the shaft a distance of approximately 60-70 cm from a head in order to provide more locations along the shaft to comfort both hands placed on a club when putting for golfers who position their hands at different locations along the length of a club.

6. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Florian or Leek.

Williams discloses golfer's arm crossing in front of a chest (Figs. 7-8). Williams does not specifically state that the arm is against the chest but clearly one skilled in the art would have selected a suitable location of the arm in which against the chest is included.

Williams lacks one arm against the chest and holding the upper end of a putter as a pivot point. Florian (Fig. 6) or Leek (Figs. 1, 3) disclose a method of holding the upper end of a putter as a pivot point while swing a club with the other arm in order to produce a pendulum type of motion (Col. 8 Ln. 68 through Col. 9. Ln. 1). In view of the patents of Florian or Leek it would have been obvious to modify the method of Williams to have a method of holding the upper end of a putter as a pivot point while swing a club with the other arm in order to produce a pendulum type of motion.

It would have been obvious to modify the method of putting of Williams to have one arm against the chest in order to provide support to the arm holding the end of the shaft so the arm does not get tired.

7. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Florian or Leek as applied to claims 15-16 above, and further in view of Examiner's Official Notice.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the golf art to remove a ball from a hole after putting if the ball goes in.

#### Response to Arguments

8. With respect to claims 15-17, the argument that the references of Williams in view of Florian is improper due to it would produce the shaft of Williams to be angulated 10 degrees as taught by Florian is disagreed with. Florian was only used to show that it

Art Unit: 3711

is known to have two handed putters with long grips as claimed and to use the hand of the arm across the chest to pivot the putter. Clearly it would be obvious to modify the two grips of Williams and make just one long one to provide comfort to more than one location for a set of hands. And clearly it would be obvious to modify the method of swinging the putter of Williams to have the hand of the arm across the chest to hold the upper end of a putter as a pivot point when putting since it is near the shoulder and the club is to match the hanging arm as it swings. Florian was not used to show the shaft angled at 10 degrees. Leek was used to show another putter where the end is held to produce a pivot action.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,666

Art Unit: 3711

### Conclusion

Page 7

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4415. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3700. (TC 3700 Official Fax 571-273-8300)

slb/ 13 September 2005

STEPHEN BLAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER